TERM DEPENDENT CORRELATION CRYSTAL FIELD TO THE ²H(2)_{11/2} LEVELS OF Nd⁺³:LiYF,

A.A.S.da Gama and Gilberto F.de Sá
Departamento de Química Fundamental and Departamento de Física da UFPE, Cidade Universitária, 50.000,
Recife, PE-Brasil.

Recebido em 12/12/83

Abstract. Phenomenological crystal field parameters reproduces quite satisfactorily the splittings of a very large number of levels to Nd³⁺:LiYF₄ but give less than half the observed splitting of the ²H(2)_{11/2} multiplet. Phenomenological two-body crystal field parameters were able to account for more than 50% of this discrepancy.

Crystal-field theory has succeded in fore-seing the splittings of the rareearth 4f multiplet levels in crystals. Phenomenological crystal field parameters obtained by fitting a small number of crystal field levels of the ion in a particular host reproduce quite well the splittings of the other multiplest at the same host had initio calculations using the point charge electrostatic model (P.C.E.M.), give large discrepancies between theoretical and experimental crystal field levels Recently a simple overlap model was able to rectify part of these discrepancies.

Judd suggested that this discrepancy could be partially rectified by considering the term dependent correlation crystal field⁴.

In the present work we propose to examine how two-body crystal field operators, required to correct the Pr³⁺ spectra in a particular host, could be used to explain the discrepancies in the Nd³⁺ spectra in the same host. This analysis is simplified because the two-body coefficients of fractional parentage between electronic configuration 4f³ and 4f² are easily related to one-body coefficients.

When configuration interaction is considered is crystal field theory, two-body operators may appear which should be included in the Hamiltonian⁵. The two-body Hamiltonian of the correlation crystal field can be conveniently writen as ⁴.

$$H_{CCF} = \sum_{\substack{k,q \\ k_1,k_2}} B_{KQ}(k_1,k_2) X_Q^{(k)}(k_1,k_2)$$
 (1)

where k_1 , k_2 are associated with one-body operators and are subjected to the condition k_1 , $k_2 \le 6$ K is an even number. Whose maximum value is 12.

The matrix elements of the operators in (1) for the coupled $\left|4f^{N} \alpha \operatorname{SLJM}\right>$ states show the same 3j and 6j simbols related to the coupling of L-S states and to one-body operators. For 4f 2 the matrix elements on the L-S basis can be easily calcu-

lated. The result is

Since the matrix elements for $4f^N$ can be obtained from those of $4f^2$, using fractional parentage coefficients, it is covenient to define correlation crystal field parameters for $4f^2$ as

$$B_{KQ}(L,L') = \sum_{k_1,k_2} B_{KQ}(k_1,k_2) < 4f^2 SL | |x^{(k)}|$$

$$(k_1,k_2) | | 4f^2 SL' > (3)$$

For the 4f³ configuration the calculation can be simplified using second quantization techniques.

The second quantization form of the two-body operator is (4)

$$\mathbf{X}_{Q}^{(K)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m_1 m_2 \\ m_1' m_2'}} \mathbf{a}_{m_1}^{+} \mathbf{a}_{m_2}^{+} < m_1 m_2 | \mathbf{X}_{Q}^{(K)} | m_1' m_2' > a_{m_2} a_{m_1'}^{+}$$

and with the coupled m₁m₂ states we may write

$$X_{Q}^{(K)} = \sum_{LM} (a^{+}a^{+})_{M}^{L} <_{LM} |X_{Q}^{(K)}| |L'M'> (aa)_{M'}^{L'}$$
 (5)

Now using the Wigner-Eckart theorem we may write

$$X_{Q}^{(K)} = \sum_{LM} (a^{\dagger}a^{\dagger})_{M}^{L} < LML^{\dagger}M^{\dagger} | KQ > < L | | X^{(K)} | | L^{\dagger} > (aa)_{M}^{L^{\dagger}}$$
(6)

and then coupling the creation and annihilation operators

$$x_{Q}^{(K)} = \sum_{L,L'} \{ (a^{+}a^{+})^{L} (aa)^{L'} \}_{Q}^{K} < L | |x^{(K)}| | L' > (7)$$

Now the correlation crystal field parameter for $4f^3$ can be defined as related a term dependent parameter of $4f^{2^4}$.

$$B_{KQ}(4f^{3}; \alpha L, \alpha' L') =$$

$$= B_{KQ}(\overline{L}, \overline{L}') < 4f^{3}\sigma SL | | \{(a^{+}a^{+})^{\overline{L}}(aa)^{\overline{L}'}\}^{K} | | 4f^{3}\alpha' SL' >$$
(8)

The reduced matrix elements of eq.(9) can be calculated as a particular case of a double tensor, scalar in spin space, of eq.(34) in ref.[6].

For 4f³ the two-body creation an annihilation matrix elements can be easily calculated if we use the equation

$$<4f^{3}\alpha SLM_{X}M_{L}|(a^{+}a^{+})\frac{O\overline{L}}{OM_{L}}|4f^{+}s\ell m_{X}m_{\ell}> =$$

$$= (-1)^{L-M_{L}+S-MS}\binom{L}{-M_{L}}M_{L}^{M_{L}}m_{\ell} |(S^{0} o^{s} o^{s}) .$$

$$<4f^{3}\alpha SL||(a^{+}a^{+})\frac{O\overline{L}}{L}||4f^{+}s\ell>$$

$$(10)$$

Writing one particle state in second quantization form, the creation operators can be comuted to give

$$<4 \, {\rm f}^3 \alpha {\rm SLM_S^M_L} \left| \, {a_{m_S^m \ell}^{+ \, 1/23}} \, \left(\, {a^+ a^+} \right) {\stackrel{O\overline{L}}{OM_L}} \right| \, 0 > 0 \, {\rm f}^{-1} \, {\rm odd} \, {\rm$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \left(-1\right)^{L-M_L+S-M_S} \left(\begin{array}{cc} L & \ell & \overline{L} \\ -M & m_f & M_I \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} S & S & O \\ -M_C & m_S & O \end{array} \right) \ . \tag{11}$$

$$<4f^3 SL||a^+||4f^2oL^->$$

from (10) and (11) we may that

The one particle matrix element is related to parentage coeficient 6 through

$$<4f^3\alpha SL | |a^+| | 4f^2O\overline{L}> =$$

$$= (-1)^3 \{(2S+1)(2L+1)\}^{1/2} < 4f^3\alpha SL \{ |4f^2O\overline{L}> \}$$
(13)

with a phase facot $(-1)^{\overline{S}+\overline{L}-s-S-\ell-L}$ for the complex conjugated of eq.[13].

Now the matrix elements of Eq.(8) can be writen as

with
$$x = S + K + \overline{S} + \overline{L} - s - \ell - L$$
.

The contributions with $\bar{L} \neq \bar{L}^{*}$ and $L \neq L$ were neglected since they are necessary only in case of very large mixture of terms⁴.

The $^2\text{H}(2)$ levels of 4f^3 have parentage with the ^1D , ^1G and ^1K levels of 4f^2 . From eq.(14) can be easily calculated a term dependent correlation crystal field coefficient for B_{KQ} (\bar{L}) parameter of 4f^2 to give $B_{KQ}(\alpha,\alpha';L)$ of 4f^3 . The matrix elements of eq.(14) for $^2\text{H}(2)$ are very small for K≥8. With ^1D $(\bar{L}=2)$ there are contribution for K=2,4 and with ^1G $(\bar{L}=4)$ for K = 2, 4, 6.

The wave function of the 1 D levels of 1 D is more than 90% free of mixture with the other levels. For these levels in LiYF₄, the crystal field parameters need to be changed by $87 \, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for B_{20} , $280 \, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for B_{40} and $150 \, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for B_{44} . If these quantities can be taken as the correlation crystal field for the 1 D levels of Pr^{3+} in LiYF₄, the matrix elements of eq.(14) between the 2 H(2) or 2 H(1) and the 1 D levels

gives the parameters for the $^2\text{H}(2)_{11/2}$ levels of Nd $^{3+}$ in the same host. Table 1 shows the crystal field parameters that reproduces the splittings of all levels and the term dependent correlation crystal field parameter for the ^2H levels.

TABLE 1 - Crystal field parameters for Nd^{3+} in LiYF₄ (cm⁻¹)

	(cm ')			
	all levels	² H(2)	2 H(2)/ 2 H(1)	² H(1)
B ₂₀	401	816	609	487
B40	-1008	-431	-720	-888
B44	-1230	-921	-1075	-1165
B ₆₀	. 30	30	30	30
B ₆₆	-1075	-1075	-1075	-1075

The splitting of the 2 H(2)_{11/2} multiplet increases by more than 50% after the correlation crystal field is included (table 2). This gives some confidence to the hypothesis that two-body correlation effects may be of great importance in crystal-field theory because there is no fitting to the experimental results of Nd³⁺ terms that come from Pr^{3+} terms of difficult description by the one-body crystal field operator. In this work a truncated matrix for $4f^3$ was used containin only the twelve components of the 2 H levels. A more complete calculation, using a non truncated basis is in progress.

TABLE 2 - Energy level splittings of ${}^{2}\text{H}(2)_{11/2}$ in cm¹

leve1	Exp. ¹	Cal.	(a)	(b)
±11/12	123	60	32	66
± 1/2	42	32	31	53
± 5/2	-	3	1	-1
±9/2	-22	-10	-2	-12
±7/2	-48	-29	-22	-44
±3/2	-94	-31	-42	-66

(a) Calculated with truncated $^{2}\text{H(2)}_{11/2}$, $^{2}\text{H(1)}_{11/2}$ matrix and parameters of ref.1. (b) Calculated with truncated $^{2}\text{H(2)}_{11/2}$, $^{2}\text{H(1)}_{11/2}$ matrix and parameters modified by correlation crystal field contribution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT - greteful to Professor B.R.Judd and Dr. O.L.Malta for helpfull discussions.

- A.A.S.da Gama, G.F.de Sá, P.Porcher, P.Caro;
 J.Chem.Phys. 75, 2583 (1981).
- C.A.Morrison, G.F.de Sa and R.P.Leavitt, J.Chem. Phys. 75, 3899 (1982).
- 3. O.L.Malta, Chem.Phys.Lett. 87, 27 (1982).
- 4. S.S.Bishton, D.J.Newman, J.Phys. C 3, 1753 (1970).
- 5. K.Rajnak, B.G.Wybourne, J.Chem.Phys. 41, 505(1964).
- B.R.Judd, "Second Quantization and Atomic Spectroscopy", Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore (1967).