# The influence of the source of carbon incorporated in MCM-48 molecular sieves applied to CO<sub>2</sub> photoconversion into methanol

Eliane R. Januário<sup>1</sup> (PG), Ana F. Nogueira<sup>2</sup> (PQ), Heloise O. Pastore<sup>1</sup> (PQ)\*.

#### \*lolly@iqm.unicamp.br

<sup>1</sup> Grupo de Peneiras Moleculares Micro e Mesoporosas, Institute of Chemistry, UNICAMP, CEP 13083-970, SP, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Laboratório de Nanotecnologia e Energia Solar, Institute of Chemistry, UNICAMP, CEP 13083-970, SP, Brazil

Keywords: Molecular Sieves, CO2 photoconversion, Solar Fuels

### Abstract

In this work we applied MCM-48, (Carbon Ceramic Materials) CCM-MCM-48 decorated with  $Cu_2O/CuO$  nanoparticles in the photocatalytic reduction of  $CO_2$  to  $CH_3OH$ .

### Introduction

Many environmental problems are caused by the increase of  $CO_2$  levels in the atmosphere. One useful way to tackle this problem is the photoconversion of  $CO_2$  into solar fuels. This work describes the synthesis of cubic molecular sieve MCM-48 and Carbon Ceramic Materials CCM-MCM-48 which were impregnated with  $Cu_2O$  and CuOnanoparticles previously prepared. The materials were characterized by XDR, Uv-Vis and BET. The photocatalytic tests were carried out in a reactor using a solar simulator (AM 1.5). The results of the photocatalytic tests were monitored by gas chromatography technique (GC). We observed the formation of liquid  $CH_3OH$ .

## **Results and Discussion**

MCM-48 and CCM-MCM-48 were synthesized following the references [1,2]. Copper oxide nanoparticles (Cu2O and CuO) were prepared according to the literature. [3,4] The molecular sieves and the copper oxide nanoparticles were mixed in isopropyl alcohol 99%, using sonication at 5 wt.%. The materials were dried in oven at 150 °C for 24 h under inert atmosphere. The photocatalytic process occurs in a reactor containg 50 mg of catalysts, 300  $\mu$ L of water and 2 bar of CO<sub>2</sub>. The system was irradiated for 20 h. Next, the liquid phase was collected and analyzed by GC. The photocatalytic results were compared to those of TiO<sub>2</sub> P25 particles collected in the same conditions.

In **Table 1** surface area analysis of graphite, MCM-48 and CCM-MCM-48 are show.

 Table 1- Surface area values of molecular sieves

Material	Surface Area (BET)(m <sup>2</sup> .g <sup>-1</sup> )
Graphite	6
MCM-48	771
CCM-MCM-48	113

The decrease of surface area is due to the [4]- Yau graphite incorporation  $(1/3 = SiO_2/C \text{ ratio})$  on the 39<sup>a</sup> Reunião Anual da Sociedade Brasileira de Química: Criar e Empreender

synthesis. In **Table 2** photoconversion results of  $CO_2$  to  $CH_3OH$  using solar simulation are shown.

Table 2- Photoconversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>3</sub>OH

Material	µmol CH₃OH	Yield %
TiO₂ P25	0	0
MCM-48/Cu <sub>2</sub> O	131	2,43
CCM-MCM-48/Cu <sub>2</sub> O	86	1,61
MCM-48/CuO	188	3,37
CCM-MCM-48/CuO	145	2,72

The results demonstrate the influence of surface area and nature of nanoparticles. MCM-48/CuO exhibit higher photoconversion for CH<sub>3</sub>OH and, after graphite incorporation a decreased yield (-20%). The same phenomena were observed for CCM-MCM-48/Cu<sub>2</sub>O and MCM-48/Cu<sub>2</sub>O (-34,5%). This process can be associated to the blocking of the active sites caused by graphite. The higher photoconversion of CO<sub>2</sub> into CH<sub>3</sub>OH using CuO/Cu<sub>2</sub>O nanoparticles is attributed to the adequate energy levels and smaller value of band gap of semiconductors (CuO =1.7 eV and Cu<sub>2</sub>O = 2.2 eV), who promote a more efficient electronic transfer for the CO<sub>2</sub> photoreduction into methanol, when compared with TiO<sub>2</sub> P25, (TiO<sub>2</sub>=3,2 eV) material used as a reference.

## Conclusion

The results showed that these materials are promising candidates for catalysts of  $CO_2$  photoconversion to obtain higher value-added products. The incorporation of carbon on the material decreases the conversion, due to lower surface area, and possibility because of active sites blocking to the light.

#### Acknowledgment

We acknowledge the financial support from FAPESP (2012/08904-3).

<sup>[1]-</sup>Froba M., Kohn R., Bouffaud G., Richard O., van Tendeloo G., Chem. Mater., **1999**, 11, 2858-2865.

<sup>[2]-</sup> Gallo J. M. R., Gatti G., Graizzaro A., Marchese L., Pastore H. O., J. Power Source, (2011) 8188–8196.

<sup>[3]-</sup> Koshy J., Soosen S. M., Chandran A., George K. C., AIP Conf. Proc. 2011, 1391, 576

<sup>[4]-</sup> Yazdanpour N., Sharifnia S., Sol. Energ. Mat. Sol. C., 2013, 1-8.