Decomposition of S-nitrosothiols and colorimetric analysis on microfluidic paper-based analytical devices

<u>Marillya de O. Araújo¹</u> (IC), Abdulghani Ismail² (PG), Cyro L. S. Chagas¹ (PG), Sophie Griveau² (PQ), Fanny d'Orlyé² (PQ), Anne Varenne² (PQ), Fethi Bedioui² (PQ) and Wendell K. T. Coltro^{1*} (PQ)

¹Grupo de Métodos Eletroforéticos, Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia/GO, Brasil ²Université Paris Descartes, Unité de Technologies Chimiques et Biologiques pour la Santé, 75005 Paris, France. *Email: wendell@ufg.br

Palavras chave: Paper microfluidics, Griess reaction, Nitric oxide, point-of-care testing.

A disposable microfluidic paper based analytical was developed to colorimetrically analyse different Snitrosothiols.

Abstract

Introduction

S-nitrosothiols (RSNOs) are very important biomolecules due to their capability to store nitric oxide (NO). In addition, they are responsible for many physiological (vasodilatation, antiplatelet aggregation, antimicrobial and signaling...) and physio-pathological functions (neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson and Alzheimer, apoptosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, preeclampsia, diabetes)1. Microfluidic paper-based analytical devices (µPADs) coupled with colorimetric detection has become very popular for analysis of compounds with clinical importance including reactive nitrogen species². In this scenario, we describe for the first time the use of µPAD combined with colorimetric detection to analyse the decomposition of RSNOs promoted by different light sources (LEDs) like ultraviolet (UV), visible (Vis) and infrared (IR) radiation.

Results and Discussion

Additional information about the fabrication of μ PADs through wax printing technology and the procedure for colorimetric measurements using a scanner are described elsewhere^{2,3}. LEDs were positioned at fixed distance from μ PAD using a 3D printed polymeric device (Figure 1). Decomposition of S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO), S-nitrosocysteine (CySNO) and S-nitrosoalbumine (AlbSNO) was performed using mercuric ion as well as UV and Vis lights at physiological pH.



Figure 1. Layout of μ PAD containing eight zones (a) before and (b) after coupling with a 3D holder for lamps. (c) resulting device showing colored zones after decomposition and Griess reaction for nitrite detection. In (c), the label CZ means control zone.

39ª Reunião Anual da Sociedade Brasileira de Química: Criar e Empreender

A calibration curve for nitrite was used as reference to investigate the decomposition procedure. The sensitivity observed for the decomposition product of GSNO using mercuric ion (Fig. 2A) exhibited a value similar to that obtained with nitrite. UV decomposition made after 25 min of illumination was less effective indicating that only 60% of GSNO is decomposed to nitrite. On the other hand, the decomposition using Vis light for 25 min of illumination was lower than that obtained by Hg²⁺ and UV. Similar experiments were performed for CySNO and it was found that mercuric decomposition is the most efficient one, leading to total CySNO decomposition. As it can be seen in Fig. 2B, the use of Vis light to decompose CySNO provided the lowest sensitivity. The limits of detection (LODs) achieved for GSNO decomposed by Hg2+, UV, visible, and were 4, 6 and 11 μ M, respectively. For CySNO, the values ranged from 4 to 7 µM. Decompositions of CySNO and GSNO were also performed using IR light, however, no coloration or poor sensitivity was observed. Finally, AlbSNO was the most stable towards light decomposition since no decomposition was detected (not shown).



Conclusions

We have presented the first methodology to detect RSNOs on μ PADs. Decomposition of low molecular weight and high molecular weight RSNOs was made on the paper using mercuric and various light decomposition processes. Mercuric decomposition was total for the three RSNOs used. This is a simple point of care device able to differentiate low molecular weight RSNO from high molecular weight RSNO.

Acknowledgements

CNPq, FAPEG, CAPES, IQ-UFG and INCTBio.

¹Ismail, A.; *et al.*, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2015**, *407*, 6221. ²Cardoso, T.M.G.; *et al.*, *Anal. Methods* **2015**, *7*, 7311. ³Carrilho, E. *et al.*; *Anal. Chem.* **2009**, *81*, 7091