# Evaluation of novel N<sup>2</sup>-alkyl and N<sup>2</sup>,N<sup>4</sup>-dialkyl Nitrofurazones derivatives as a possible antituberculosis agents

Maria P. de A. Farias<sup>1</sup>, Ana Cristina Lima Leite<sup>1</sup>, Diogo R. de M. Moreira<sup>1</sup> Janete Magali de Araújo, Vânia T. Lima<sup>2</sup>, Rosa E. de A. da Silva<sup>2</sup> and Dalci J. Brondani<sup>1\*</sup>. e-mail: dalci@ufpe.br

<sup>1</sup>LABSINFA, Dept. de Ciências Farmacêuticas, CCS. <sup>2</sup>Lab. De Genética de Microorganismos, Dept. de Antibióticos, CCB, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco.

Key words : Nitrofurazone; antibacterial activities, mycobacteria.

## Introduction

A key problem in tuberculosis control is the persistence of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, despite prolonged chemotherapy. The emergence of difficult to cure multi drug-resistant tuberculosis is of great concern<sup>1</sup>. Mycobacteria are obligate aerobes, however. tubercle bacilli encounter hypoxic environments in vivo. Nitrocompounds are important class of antimicrobial agent, and the nitro group acts as an electron acceptor (reduction), interrupting the normal electron flow, with consequent DNA damage<sup>2</sup>. The nitrofurans are exceptional compounds for their range of activity, the relative lack of resistance, and their interesting chemistry<sup>3</sup>. Besides, Nitrofurazone presents weak solubility in watery way, being its total solubility gotten in DMF or DMSO and that compound with low or negative C log P (bioavailability) values cross the cell membranes very poorly<sup>4</sup>. Here, we report the in vitro antimycobacterium activity, for Nitrofurazone and novel N<sup>2</sup>-alkyl and N<sup>2</sup>,N<sup>4</sup>-dialkyl derivatives, planned as more lipophylic agents.

# Results and Discussion

Nitrofurazone (NF) and derivatives (2a-d) are showed in figure below, and dissolved in 30% of DMSO. For



#### figure

the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) tests, the drugs was first diluted to the highest concentration (32  $\mu$ g/mL) and then serial twofold dilutions were performed in a concentration range from 32 $\mu$ g/mL to 0,125  $\mu$ g/mL in plates containing Müeller Hinton-Agar. The results of antimicrobial susceptibility testing against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium smegmatis* are showed in table 1. Nitrofurazone, also compound **2a** and **2b** had shown a moderate activity, strengthening the importance of the nitrofurans for the treatment against tubercle bacilli.

**Table 1**: Bactericidal activity of Nitrofurazone **NF**) andderivatives against mycobacterium strains (spot test).

Microorganisms *	Inhibition zone in diameter (mm) at 300µg/mL				
	NF	2a	2b	2c	2d
M. tuberculosis	27	40	40	23	25
M. smegmatis	30	27	21	15	10
*From collection Dept. of Antibiotics of UFPE.					

N<sup>2</sup>,N<sup>4</sup>-dialkyl-substituition (2c-d), more lipophylic of them all, do not showed any improvement of activity. Although, our results showed that, both N<sup>2</sup>-alkyl derivatives have structurally requirements in order to retain activity against mycobacterium. Looking for these appreciative results, the bacteriostatic activity for M. tuberculosis was determinate in vitro for compound 2a and the MIC values was equal to 10µg/mL, showing a highest potency against this strain, and more active than Nitrofurazone (the literature related that Nitrofurazone show MIC of 10-25mg/mL for mycobacterium strains)<sup>1</sup>. As well, all compounds showed potentially as good reducers ( 0.593 to 0.651V vs Ag|AgCl,  $Cl^{-}$  0.1 mol.L<sup>-1</sup>), favourable range to be reduced in vivo. As previously suggested, the redox potential can play an important role for the bactericidal activity of nitrofurans<sup>1</sup>.

## Conclusions

In summary, we can affirms that derivative **2a** is more potent than Nitrofurazone, considering its good values for the MIC and also it has a superior molecular weight, what results in a final lesser molar concentration in this assay. The gotten results had disclosed that the increase of liposolubility for Nitrofurazone is an important molecular modification for optimisation of this drug.

# Agradecimentos

CNPq, FACEPE AND CAPES.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Oei M. B., Dick, T., J. of Antimicrobial Chem., **2000**, *12*, 917.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Edwards D. I., J. of Antimicrobial Chem., **1993b**, 31, 9-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Toscher J. H., Gen. Pharmac. **1997**, 28, 485-487.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Gago F, Alvarez BJ, J. Liq. Chromatogr. 10 (1987), 1031-1047.